



July 27, 2020

**2019-20 OFFICERS AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The Honorable Kathryn Barger  
Chair, Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors  
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple St., Suite 869  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
[kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov](mailto:kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov)

**Re: 7/28/20 Board Agenda Items 1-3: Request to suspend consideration of the Community Reinvestment and Housing Stabilization Charter Amendment for the November 3, 2020 ballot.**

Dear Supervisor Barger,

The Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Division of the League of California Cities® (Division), representing 86 cities in the county, by unanimous agreement, urges the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (BOS) to suspend consideration of Board Agenda Items 1-3 to adopt an ordinance and resolution to place the Community Reinvestment and Housing Stabilization Charter Amendment on the November 3, 2020 ballot until a more comprehensive public engagement process and analysis is conducted.

We find the Board's intent to address social justice and equity in Los Angeles County laudable and worth the attention of every local government in the county. However, we have significant procedural and fiscal concerns with placing a measure to cement permanent categorical spending in the county's budget without fully understanding the consequences, intended or unintended, to all county services, our cities and our communities.

The BOS is considering this charter amendment in a special meeting to meet the August 7, 2020 Registrar Recorder deadline to call a special election, but should not adopt the ordinance and resolution for the following reasons:

- 1. The proposed charter amendment is rushed, lacks transparency, and stakeholder engagement.** This measure was crafted by one stakeholder, yet past election results demonstrate that voters support county measures with broad and thoughtful stakeholder involvement. As noted by the July 21 Los Angeles Times editorial, this proposal was placed on the BOS agenda last minute without time for stakeholders to fully review its impacts or engage in a comprehensive discussion on the issue, much less develop a full understanding of its fiscal or policy impacts.
- 2. The BOS has existing authority to prioritize its unrestricted funds.** Like city councils, the BOS has existing authority to spend its discretionary funds according to its policy priorities through an annual budget process with a simple majority vote. It is unnecessary to permanently restrict future budget spending for this Board or future Boards through a charter amendment.
- 3. Fiscal implications have not been analyzed.** Under the current proposal, cities and county residents have no way to determine if the charter amendments have positive or negative impacts on existing county services. The only "analysis" we have is the

PRESIDENT  
**JUAN GARZA**  
BELLFLOWER

VICE PRESIDENT  
**BLANCA PACHECO**  
DOWNEY

SECRETARY/TREASURER  
**JAMES BOZAJIAN**  
CALABASAS

STATE LEAGUE DIRECTOR  
**ANA MARIA QUINTANA**  
BELL

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT  
**MIGUEL CANALES**  
ARTESIA

**REGIONAL DIRECTORS**

ARROYO VERDUGO JPA  
**DIANA MAHMUD**  
SOUTH PASADENA

GATEWAY CITIES COG  
**STEVE CROFT**  
LAKEWOOD

LAS VIRGENES-MALIBU COG  
**KAREN FARRER**  
MALIBU

SAN FERNANDO VALLEY COG  
**EMILY GABEL-LUDDY**  
BURBANK

SAN GABRIEL VALLEY COG  
**MARGARET CLARK**  
ROSEMEAD

SOUTH BAY CITIES COG  
**BEA DIERINGER**  
ROLLING HILLS

WESTSIDE CITIES COG  
**SUE HIMMELRICH**  
SANTA MONICA

CITY OF LOS ANGELES  
**JOHN WICKHAM**  
LOS ANGELES

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
**JENNIFER QUAN**

county Chief Administrative Officers' verbal testimony on July 21 expressing concerns about limiting budgetary flexibility, especially during economic uncertainty like we are currently experiencing with the COVID-19 pandemic. The CAO also testified that the charter amendment, as proposed, would likely cause department cuts and potential staff layoffs starting in fiscal year 21/22. These concerns and their unknown effects on wider service provisions to our residents and our cities warrant a full impartial analysis from the county before moving forward.

Again, we urge the board to suspend its efforts to rush a permanent change to its charter without a more comprehensive discussion and engagement with all stakeholders that want to be at the table. We know our contributions bring value to these important policy discussions and we would like to engage with the board on this timely discussion. Our past support of county initiatives like the Safe, Clean Water Measure (W), the Homeless Services Initiative (Measure H), and the Neighborhood Parks and Beaches Measure (A), prove that when the county and cities work together, not only are we successful in gaining the trust of voters to advance major policy efforts, we can create meaningful changes in Los Angeles County. Let's take the time this issue deserves to accomplish that and get it right, it's worth it.

Thank you for your consideration of our request.

Regards,



Juan Garza  
President, Los Angeles County Division, League of California Cities  
Mayor, City of Bellflower

Cc: Los Angeles County Supervisor Hilda Solis, [firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov](mailto:firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov)  
Los Angeles County Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas, [markridley-thomas@bos.lacounty.gov](mailto:markridley-thomas@bos.lacounty.gov)  
Los Angeles County Supervisor Sheila Kuehl, [sheila@bos.lacounty.gov](mailto:sheila@bos.lacounty.gov)  
Los Angeles County Supervisor Janice Hahn, [fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov](mailto:fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov)  
Online submission portal, <https://publiccomment.bos.lacounty.gov/>  
Los Angeles County cities